

DFA additional formal definitions

- multistep transition function $\hat{\delta}$
a.k.a. extended transition function

$$\hat{\delta}(q, \epsilon) = q$$

$$\hat{\delta}(q, xa) = \delta(\hat{\delta}(q, x), a)$$

- Language recognized by a DFA:

$$L(D) = \{w \mid \hat{\delta}(q_0, w) \in F\}$$

initial state of D

- Regular languages

$$L \text{ is regular} \equiv \exists \text{ DFA } D \text{ s.t. } L(D) = L$$

NFA additional definitions

- multistep transition function

$$\hat{\delta}(q, \epsilon) = \epsilon\text{-close}(\{q\})$$

$$\hat{\delta}(q, xa) = \epsilon\text{-close}\left(\bigcup_{r \in \hat{\delta}(q, x)} \delta(r, a)\right)$$

- ϵ -close

$$\epsilon\text{-close}(R) =$$

the least set s.t. - $R \subseteq \epsilon\text{-close}(R)$

- and $\forall q \in R \quad \delta(q, \epsilon) \subseteq \epsilon\text{-close}(R)$

- Language recognized by an NFA

~~$L(N) = \{w \mid (\hat{\delta}(q_0, w) \cap F) \neq \emptyset\}$~~

$$L(N) = \{w \mid (\hat{\delta}(q_0, w) \cap F) \neq \emptyset\}$$

thm.

if L_1, L_2 are regular then
 $L_1 \cup L_2$ is regular.

Proof

L_1 regular $\Rightarrow \exists D_1 = (Q_1, \Sigma, \delta_1, q_1, F_1)$ s.t. $\mathcal{L}(D_1) = L_1$

L_2 regular $\Rightarrow \exists D_2 = (Q_2, \Sigma, \delta_2, q_2, F_2)$ s.t. $\mathcal{L}(D_2) = L_2$

without loss of generality, we assume same alphabet.
(~~the~~ ^{two} DFA's could be transformed to work on same Σ)

Question: $\exists D = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ s.t. $\mathcal{L}(D) = L_1 \cup L_2$?

we use the ~~DFA~~ of the product construction seen in class.

$$\mathcal{L}(D) = \mathcal{L}(D_1) \cup \mathcal{L}(D_2) =$$

$$\{w \mid \hat{\delta}(q_0, w) \in F\} = \{w \mid \hat{\delta}_1(q_1, w) \in F_1\} \cup \{w \mid \hat{\delta}_2(q_2, w) \in F_2\}$$

$q_0 = (q_1, q_2)$ in the construction.

$F = \{(q, q') \mid q \in F_1 \vee q' \in F_2\}$ in the construction

$$\{w \mid \hat{\delta}((q_1, q_2), w) \in F\} = \{w \mid (\hat{\delta}_1(q_1, w), \hat{\delta}_2(q_2, w)) \in F\}$$

therefore we simply need to prove

Lemma. $\hat{\delta}((q_1, q_2), w) = (\hat{\delta}_1(q_1, w), \hat{\delta}_2(q_2, w))$

NEXT PAGE

Lemma.

$$\hat{\delta}((q_1, q_2), w) = (\hat{\delta}_1(q_1, w), \hat{\delta}_2(q_2, w))$$

Proof by induction on the structure of w .

- base case: ϵ

$$\hat{\delta}((q_1, q_2), \epsilon) = (q_1, q_2) \\ (\hat{\delta}_1(q_1, \epsilon), \hat{\delta}_2(q_2, \epsilon)) = (q_1, q_2) \quad \checkmark$$

- inductive case: xa

IH = Inductive Hypothesis = $\hat{\delta}((q_1, q_2), x) = (\hat{\delta}_1(q_1, x), \hat{\delta}_2(q_2, x))$
Can I prove

$$\hat{\delta}((q_1, q_2), xa) = (\hat{\delta}_1(q_1, xa), \hat{\delta}_2(q_2, xa)) ?$$

by def of $\hat{\delta}$ $\delta(\hat{\delta}((q_1, q_2), x), a)$

by IH $\delta((\hat{\delta}_1(q_1, x), \hat{\delta}_2(q_2, x)), a)$

by construction of δ $(\underbrace{\delta_1(\hat{\delta}_1(q_1, x), a)}_{\text{this is } \hat{\delta}_1(q_1, xa)}, \underbrace{\delta_2(\hat{\delta}_2(q_2, x), a)}_{\text{this is } \hat{\delta}_2(q_2, xa)})$

this is $\hat{\delta}_1(q_1, xa)$
by expanding the def. of $\hat{\delta}_1$

this is $\hat{\delta}_2(q_2, xa)$
by expanding the def. of $\hat{\delta}_2$

Hence $= (\hat{\delta}_1(q_1, xa), \hat{\delta}_2(q_2, xa)) \leftarrow \dots$